arXiv:1407.7088v1 [astro-ph.HE] 26 Jul 2014

Causal extraction of black hole rotational energy by various kinds of electromagnetic fields

Shinji Koide and Tamon Baba

Department of Physics, Kumamoto University, 2-39-1, Kurokami, Kumamoto, 860-8555, JAPAN

Received _____; accepted _____

Not to appear in Nonlearned J., 45.

ABSTRACT

Recent general relativistic MHD simulations have suggested that relativistic jets from active galactic nuclei (AGNs) have been powered by rotational energy of central black holes. Some mechanisms of extraction of black hole rotational energy have been proposed, like the Penrose process, Blandford-Znajek mechanism, MHD Penrose process, and superradiance. The Blandford-Znajek mechanism is the most promising mechanism for the engines of the relativistic jets from AGNs. However, an intuitive interpretation of this mechanism with causality is not clarified yet, while the Penrose process has a clear interpretation for the causal energy extraction from the black hole with negative energy. In this paper, we present a formula to build physical intuition so that in the Blandford-Znajek mechanism as well as other electromagnetic processes, negative electromagnetic energy plays an important role to extract the rotational energy of the black holes causally.

Subject headings: black hole physics, magnetic fields, magnetohydrodynamics (MHD), plasmas, galaxies: nuclei, stars: black holes, (stars:) gamma-ray burst: general

1. Introduction

A number of observations suggested that phenomena in most active regions in the universe are related to black holes. Some of most active objects in the universe, for example, active galactic nuclei (AGNs), micro-quasars (black hole binaries), and gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), emit relativistic jets (Biretta et al. 1999; Pearson & Zensus 1987; Mirabel & Rodriguez 1994; Tingay et al. 1995; Kulkarni 1999). It is believed that these relativistic jets are caused by the drastic phenomena around the black holes at the centers of these objects. The possible energy sources of the drastic phenomena are gravitational energy of the matter falling toward the black hole and rotational energy of the black hole itself. Recently, numerical simulations of general relativistic MHD (GRMHD) have suggested that the relativistic jet is launched from the vicinity of the black hole, i.e. inside of the ergosphere (Koide 2004; Koide, Kudoh, & Shibata 2006), and some long-term simulations showed that the energy is seemed to be supplied from the rotational energy of the black hole (McKinney 2006; McKinney, Tchekhovskoy, & Blandford 2012). In these GRMHD simulations, the black hole rotational energy seems to be extracted through the magnetic field flux tubes due to the so called Blandford-Znajek mechanism (Blandford & Znajek 1977). It was proposed as the mechanism in the force-free condition, by which the rotational energy of the black hole is extracted directly through the horizon along the magnetic flux tubes. However, in principle, causality prohibits the outward transportation of any material, energy, and information across the horizon. Thus, as pointed out by Punsly & Coroniti (1989, 1990a,b), the Blandford-Znajek mechanism seems to be contradictory to the causality. On the other hand, in the Penrose process, the black hole spin energy is extracted causally due to the negative energy-at-infinity (or just called "energy") of a particle caused by fission (Penrose 1969). Takahashi et al. (1990) and Hirotani et al. (1992) found the axisymmetric steady-state solution of ideal MHD plasma inflow with the negative energy toward the rotating black hole. When the negative energy

of the inflowing plasma in the ergosphere is swallowed by the black hole, the black hole rotation energy decreases, that is, the black hole energy is extracted, just like the Penrose process. The difference between the ideal MHD mechanism and the Penrose process is that the negative energy is produced by the magnetic tension force in the ideal MHD inflow, while in the Penrose process it is caused by the fission of a particle. This MHD energy extraction mechanism is called "MHD Penrose process" (see Table 1). The MHD Penrose process was mimicked and confirmed by the GRMHD simulations of initially uniform, very strongly magnetized plasma around a rapidly rotating black hole, which showed that the negative energy of plasma is produced quickly in the ergosphere (Koide et al. 2002; Koide 2003). However, because of the short time duration of the simulation, the numerical solution is far from a stationary state. Komissarov (2005) performed a long term GRMHD simulations with the similar initial situation of Koide (2003), and confirmed the MHD Penrose process in the early stage. Furthermore, he found that the MHD Penrose process is a transient phenomenon and alternately the outward electromagnetic energy flux through the horizon stationarily appears almost everywhere with the exception of a very thin equatorial belt. He remarked that the pure electromagnetic mechanism with ideal MHD condition continues to operate to extract the rotational energy of the black hole. Strictly speaking, this electromagnetic mechanism should be distinguished from the original Blandford-Znajek mechanism because the original mechanism is derived with the force-free condition, while the electromagnetic energy extraction mechanism was shown with the ideal MHD simulations. In this paper, we call the mechanism shown by the simulations "MHD Blandford-Znajek mechanism" while the original mechanism is called "force-free Blandford-Znajek mechanism". Considering the numerical results, Komissarov (2009) discussed the electromagnetic extraction mechanism of the black hole energy, including the force-free Blandford-Znajek mechanism, MHD Penrose mechanism, and superradiance in the wide view. However, unfortunately, the convincing explanation with respect to the

causality of these mechanisms, which should also yield the conditions of the mechanisms, is not given except for the MHD Penrose process (Komissarov 2009). Koide (2003) pointed out that the force-free Blandford-Znajek mechanism uses the *negative electromagnetic energy-at-infinity* to extract the spin energy of the black hole. This point of view was discussed extensively by Krolik, Hawley, & Hirose (2005) and Lasota et al. (2014) for ideal MHD and force-free Blandford-Znajek mechanisms, respectively. However, it is often difficult to build physical intuition on the MHD/force-free Blandford-Znajek mechanisms with causality. Here, we present an intuitive formula for the electromagnetic mechanism of the energy extraction from the rotating black hole to aid in building the physical intuition on the mechanisms. The formula is also applicable to other electromagnetic mechanisms like the MHD Penrose process (Takahashi et al. 1990; Hirotani et al. 1992; Koide et al. 2002; Koide 2003) and super-radiance (Press & Teukolsky 1972; Teukolsky & Press 1974; Lightman et al. 1975).

In section 2, we review the energy and angular momentum transport of electromagnetic field around the black holes briefly but sufficiently. In section 3, we explain the electromagnetic mechanisms of the black hole energy extraction, that is, the force-free Blandford-Znajek mechanism, MHD Blandford-Znajek mechanism, and superradiance within causality. We summarize our explanation about the energy extraction mechanisms from the black hole including the both Blandford-Znajek mechanisms in section 4.

2. Electromagnetic energy and angular momentum transport near rotating black hole

We review the electromagnetic energy and angular momentum transport in the space-time (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) around a spinning black hole based on so called "3+1 formalism".

The scale of a small element in the space-time around the rotating black hole is given by

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = -h_0^2 dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[h_i^2(dx^i)^2 - 2h_i^2\omega_i dt dx^i\right]. \tag{1}$$

Here, we have $g_{ij} = 0 (i \neq j)$, $g_{00} = -h_0^2$, $g_{ii} = h_i^2$, $g_{i0} = g_{0i} = -h_i^2\omega_i$, where Greek indices (μ, ν) run from 0 to 3 and Roman indices (i, j) run from 1 to 3. Through this paper, we use the natural unit system, where the light speed, electric permittivity, and magnetic permeability in vacuum are unity: $c = 1$, $\epsilon_0 = 1$, and $\mu_0 = 1$. When we define the lapse function α and shift vector β^i by

$$\alpha = \sqrt{h_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3}(h_i\omega_i)^2}, \qquad \beta^i = \frac{h_i\omega_i}{\alpha}, \tag{2}$$

the line element ds is written as

$$ds^2 = -\alpha^2 dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3}(h_i dx^i - \alpha\beta^i dt)^2. \tag{3}$$

The determinant of the matrix with elements $g_{\mu\nu}$ is given by $\sqrt{-\|g\|} = \alpha h_1 h_2 h_3$, and the contravariant metric is written explicitly as $g^{00} = -\frac{1}{\alpha^2}, g^{i0} = g^{0i} = -\frac{\beta^i}{\alpha h_i}$, and $g^{ij} = \frac{1}{h_i h_j}(\delta^{ij} - \beta^i\beta^j)$, where δ^{ij} is the Kronecker δ symbol.

The relativistic Maxwell equations are

$$\nabla_\mu {}^*F^{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{4}$$

$$\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = -J^\nu, \tag{5}$$

where ∇_ν is the covariant derivative, $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic field-strength tensor, and ${}^*F^{\mu\nu}$ is the dual tensor of $F_{\mu\nu}$, ${}^*F^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}F_{\lambda\sigma}$ ($\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$ is the Levi-Civita anti-symmetric tensor, which is a tensor density of weight -1), and $J^\nu = (\rho_{\rm e}, J^1, J^2, J^3)$ is the electric 4-current density ($\rho_{\rm e}$ is the electric charge density)(Jackson 1979). The electric field E_i and the magnetic field B^i are given by $E_i = F_{i0}$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and $B^1 = F_{23}$, $B^2 = F_{31}$,

$B^3 = F_{12}$ or $B^i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{0ijk}F_{jk} = {}^*F^{0i}$, respectively. Using the 4-vector potential A_μ, we have $F_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu A_\nu - \nabla_\nu A_\mu = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ because of the symmetry of Christoffel symbols, $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu}$.

The electromagnetic energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm EM}$ is given by

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm EM} = F^\mu{}_\sigma F^{\nu\sigma} - \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}F^{\lambda\kappa}F_{\lambda\kappa}. \tag{6}$$

The total energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ is

$$T^{\mu\nu} = pg^{\mu\nu} + \mathfrak{h}U^\mu U^\nu + T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm EM}, \tag{7}$$

where p, $\mathfrak{h}$, and U^μ are the proper pressure, the proper enthalpy density, and the 4-velocity of the plasma, respectively. The energy momentum conservation law is given by

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{8}$$

The force-free condition is

$$J^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{9}$$

and the general relativistic Ohm's law is

$$F_{\mu\nu}U^\nu = \eta\left[J_\mu + (U^\nu J_\nu)U_\mu\right], \tag{10}$$

where η is the resistivity of the plasma. The ideal MHD condition is given by setting $\eta = 0$, $F_{\mu\nu}U^\nu = 0$.

Here, we introduce a local coordinate frame, so called "fiducial observer (FIDO) frame", $(\hat{x}^0, \hat{x}^1, \hat{x}^2, \hat{x}^3)$. Using the local coordinates of the frame $\hat{x}^\mu$, the line element becomes

$$ds^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu}d\hat{x}^\mu d\hat{x}^\nu = -d\hat{t}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3}(d\hat{x}^i)^2,$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric of Minkowski space-time. Comparing this metric with Eq. (3), we get

$$d\hat{t} = \alpha dt, \qquad d\hat{x}^i = h_i dx^i - \alpha\beta^i dt, \tag{11}$$

and we have partial derivative relations,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}} = \frac{\partial t}{\partial \hat{t}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_i \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \hat{t}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_i \frac{\beta^i}{h_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^i} = \frac{1}{h_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}. \tag{12}$$

Then, a contravariant vector $\hat{a}^\mu$ in the FIDO frame of an arbitrary contravariant vector a^μ in the global coordinates x^μ is written as,

$$\hat{a}^0 = \alpha a^0, \qquad \hat{a}^i = h_i a^i - \alpha\beta^i a^0 \tag{13}$$

and the covariant vector $\hat{a}_\mu$ is

$$\hat{a}_0 = \frac{1}{\alpha}a_0 + \sum_i \frac{\beta^i}{h_i}a_i, \qquad \hat{a}_i = \frac{1}{h_i}a_i. \tag{14}$$

We use the quantities observed by the FIDO frame because they can be treated intuitively and yield formulae more easily. This is because the relations between the variables in the FIDO frame are the same as these in the special theory of relativity and similar to the Newtonian relation.

Using the quantities of electromagnetic field in the FIDO frame, Maxwell equations are written by the following 3+1 formalism,

$$\frac{\partial \hat{B}^i}{\partial t} = -\sum_{j,k}\frac{h_i}{h_1h_2h_3}\epsilon^{ijk}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\left[\alpha h_k(\hat{E}_k - \sum_{l,m}\epsilon^{klm}\beta^l\hat{B}^m)\right], \tag{15}$$

$$\alpha\left(\hat{J}^i + \hat{\rho}_{\rm e}\beta^i\right) + \frac{\partial \hat{E}_i}{\partial t} = \sum_{j,k}\frac{h_i}{h_1h_2h_3}\epsilon^{ijk}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\left[\alpha h_k\left(\hat{B}^k + \sum_{l,m}\epsilon^{klm}\beta^l\hat{E}_m\right)\right], \tag{16}$$

$$\sum_i \frac{1}{h_1h_2h_3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\left(\frac{h_1h_2h_3}{h_i}\hat{B}^i\right) = 0, \tag{17}$$

$$\rho_{\rm e} = \sum_i \frac{1}{h_1h_2h_3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\left(\frac{h_1h_2h_3}{h_i}\hat{E}_i\right), \tag{18}$$

where $\epsilon^{ijk} = \epsilon^{0ijk}$.

For the convenience, we introduce the derivatives of arbitrary a three-vector field $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and an arbitrary scalar field $\hat{\phi}$ measured by the FIDO frame as

$$\nabla \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{a}} = \sum_i \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\frac{h_1 h_2 h_3}{h_i} \hat{a}^i \right), \tag{19}$$

$$(\nabla \hat{\phi})_i = \frac{1}{h_i} \frac{\partial \hat{\phi}}{\partial x^i}, \tag{20}$$

$$(\nabla \times \hat{\boldsymbol{a}})_i = \sum_{j,k} \frac{h_i}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \epsilon^{ijk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (h_k \hat{a}^k). \tag{21}$$

We express Maxwell equations in vector forms as,

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times [\alpha(\hat{\mathbf{E}} - \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \hat{\mathbf{B}})], \tag{22}$$

$$\alpha \left(\hat{\mathbf{J}} + \hat{\rho}_{\rm e} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right) + \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{E}}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times [\alpha(\hat{\mathbf{B}} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \hat{\mathbf{E}})], \tag{23}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} = 0, \tag{24}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_{\rm e} = \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}, \tag{25}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} = (\hat{E}_1, \hat{E}_2, \hat{E}_3)$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = (\hat{B}^1, \hat{B}^2, \hat{B}^3)$, and $\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} = (\hat{J}^1, \hat{J}^2, \hat{J}^3)$. The 3+1 form of the force-free condition is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{E}} = 0, \qquad \hat{\rho}_{\rm e} \hat{\boldsymbol{E}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{26}$$

and Ohm's law is written by

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}} \times \hat{\mathbf{B}} = \frac{1}{\hat{\gamma}} \eta \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} - \rho'_{\rm e} \hat{\gamma} \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \right], \tag{27}$$

where $\hat{\gamma} = \hat{U}^0$ is the Lorentz factor, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}} = (\hat{U}^1/\hat{\gamma}, \hat{U}^2/\hat{\gamma}, \hat{U}^3/\hat{\gamma})$ is the 3-velocity, and $\rho'_{\rm e} = -J^\nu U_\nu$ is the electric charge density observed by the plasma-rest frame (the proper electric charge density). The conservation equation of the electric charge is derived by Eqs. (23) and (25) as

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_{\rm e}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[\alpha \left(\hat{\mathbf{J}} + \hat{\rho}_{\rm e} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right) \right] = 0. \tag{28}$$

We present the equations of energy and angular momentum conservation around a spinning black hole. When ξ^μ is a Killing vector, we have an conservation law associated with Eq. (8)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\|g\|}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\|g\|}T^{\mu\nu}\xi_\nu) = 0. \tag{29}$$

Because of $\|g\| = -(\alpha h_1 h_2 h_3)^2$, this equation yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\alpha T^{0\nu}\xi_\nu) = -\frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3}\sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}(\alpha h_1 h_2 h_3 T^{i\nu}\xi_\nu). \tag{30}$$

Using the Killing vector $\chi^\nu = (-1, 0, 0, 0)$, we have the conservation law of energy

$$\frac{\partial e^\infty}{\partial t} = -\hat{\nabla}\cdot\mathbf{S}, \tag{31}$$

where $e^\infty \equiv \alpha T^{0\nu}\chi_\nu$ is called *energy-at-infinity* (or just "energy") density and $S^i \equiv \alpha h_i T^{i\nu}\chi_\nu$ is the i-th component of energy flux density. Here, we also express these quantities in the FIDO frame as

$$e^\infty = \alpha(\epsilon + \rho\hat{\gamma}) + \sum_i \alpha\beta^i\hat{Q}^i, \tag{32}$$

$$S^i = \alpha\left[\alpha\hat{Q}^i + e^\infty\beta^i + \sum_j \alpha\beta^j\hat{T}^{ij}\right], \tag{33}$$

where $\epsilon + \rho\hat{\gamma} = \hat{T}^{00}$, $\hat{\gamma} = \hat{U}^0$, and $\hat{Q}^i = \hat{T}^{0i}$.

We separate these quantities into the hydrodynamic and electromagnetic components:

$$e^\infty = e^\infty_{\rm hyd} + e^\infty_{\rm EM}, \tag{34}$$

$$S^i = S^i_{\rm hyd} + S^i_{\rm EM}, \tag{35}$$

where

$$e^\infty_{\rm hyd} = \alpha(\mathfrak{h}\gamma^2 - p) + \sum_i \alpha\beta^i\mathfrak{h}\gamma^2\hat{v}^i, \tag{36}$$

$$e^\infty_{\rm EM} = \alpha\left(\frac{(\hat{B})^2}{2} + \frac{(\hat{E})^2}{2}\right) + \sum_i \alpha\beta^i(\hat{\mathbf{E}}\times\hat{\mathbf{B}})_i, \tag{37}$$

$$S^i_{\rm hyd} = \alpha^2 \mathfrak{h} \gamma^2 \left(1 + \sum_j \beta^j \hat{v}^j\right) (\hat{v}^i + \beta^i), \tag{38}$$

$$S^i_{\rm EM} = \alpha^2 \left[(\hat{\mathbf{E}} - \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \hat{\mathbf{B}}) \times \left(\hat{\mathbf{B}} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \hat{\mathbf{E}}\right)\right]^i, \tag{39}$$

where the subscripts 'hyd' and 'EM' indicate hydrodynamic and electromagnetic components, respectively, and $(\hat{E})^2 = (\hat{E}_1)^2 + (\hat{E}_2)^2 + (\hat{E}_3)^2$, $(\hat{B})^2 = (\hat{B}^1)^2 + (\hat{B}^2)^2 + (\hat{B}^3)^2$. Here, $\mathbf{S}_{\rm EM} = (S^1_{\rm EM}, S^2_{\rm EM}, S^3_{\rm EM})$ can be regarded as the Poynting vector.

The general relativistic Maxwell equations (22)-(25) yield

$$\frac{\partial e^\infty_{\rm EM}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\rm EM} - \alpha(\hat{\mathbf{v}} + \boldsymbol{\beta}) \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\rm L}, \tag{40}$$

where $\mathbf{f}_{\rm L} = \hat{\rho}_{\rm e}\hat{\mathbf{E}} + \hat{\mathbf{J}} \times \hat{\mathbf{B}}$ is the Lorentz force density.

If $\eta^\mu = (0, 0, 0, 1)$ is the Killing vector for the azimuthal direction, we have the equation of angular momentum conservation

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial t} = -\hat{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{M}, \tag{41}$$

where $l \equiv \alpha T^{0\nu}\eta_\nu$ and $M^i \equiv \alpha h_i T^{i\nu}\eta_\nu$ are the total angular momentum density and the angular momentum flux density, respectively. Using the quantities measured in the FIDO frame, we have

$$l = h_3 \hat{Q}^3, \tag{42}$$

$$M^i = \alpha h_3 (\hat{T}^{i3} + \beta^i \hat{Q}^3). \tag{43}$$

These variables also can be divided into the hydrodynamic and electromagnetic components, denoted by the subscripts 'hyd' and 'EM', as follows:

$$l = l_{\rm hyd} + l_{\rm EM}, \tag{44}$$

$$M^i = M^i_{\rm hyd} + M^i_{\rm EM}, \tag{45}$$

where

$$l_{\rm hyd} = h_3 \mathfrak{h} \gamma^2 \hat{v}^3, \tag{46}$$

$$l_{\rm EM} = h_3 (\hat{\mathbf{E}} \times \hat{\mathbf{B}})_3, \tag{47}$$

$$M^i_{\rm hyd} = \alpha h_3 \left[p\delta^{i3} + \mathfrak{h}\gamma^2 \hat{v}^i \hat{v}^3 + c\beta^i \mathfrak{h}\gamma^2 \hat{v}^3 \right], \tag{48}$$

$$M^i_{\rm EM} = \alpha h_3 \left[\left(\frac{(\hat{B})^2}{2} + \frac{(\hat{E})^2}{2} \right) \delta^{i3} - \hat{B}^i \hat{B}^3 - \hat{E}_i \hat{E}_3 + \beta^i (\hat{\mathbf{E}} \times \hat{\mathbf{B}})_3 \right]. \tag{49}$$

In this case, from Eqs. (32) and (42), we have a relation of the energy and the angular momentum,

$$e^\infty = \alpha(\epsilon + \rho\hat{\gamma}) + \omega^3 l = \alpha \left[\epsilon + \rho\hat{\gamma} + \frac{\beta^3}{h_3} l \right], \tag{50}$$

when $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = 0$. Furthermore, Eqs (36), (37), (46), (47) yield

$$e^\infty_{\rm hyd} = \alpha(\mathfrak{h}\gamma^2 - p) + \omega^3 l_{\rm hyd}, \tag{51}$$

$$e^\infty_{\rm EM} = \alpha \left(\frac{(\hat{B})^2}{2} + \frac{(\hat{E})^2}{2} \right) + \omega^3 l_{\rm EM}. \tag{52}$$

The general relativistic Maxwell equations (22)-(25) read

$$\frac{\partial l_{\rm EM}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\rm EM} - h_3 f^3_{\rm L}. \tag{53}$$

From now on, we consider the electromagnetic energy transport when we have the relation between the electric field and magnetic field as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} = -\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}. \tag{54}$$

Here, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F}$ is a certain vector field and does not always mean the real velocity, while in the ideal MHD case, it is identified by the plasma velocity $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}$. It is noted that the drift velocity due to the electric field $\boldsymbol{E}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_E = \dfrac{\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}}{\hat{B}^2}$ can be used as one of vector of $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F}$. Intuitively, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F}$ is regarded as the velocity of the magnetic field lines, while this intuition is not rigorous because we can not identify the magnetic field lines at the different times. However, we

have not so serious contradiction with the interpretation and we often recognize $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F}$ as the velocity of the field line implicitly. Using Eq. (54), we have

$$e^{\infty}_{\rm EM} = \alpha\left(\frac{(\hat{B})^2}{2}+\frac{(\hat{E})^2}{2}\right)+\alpha\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\cdot\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}\times\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}\right) = \alpha\left[\frac{1}{2}(1+\hat{v}^2_{\rm F\perp})+\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}\right](\hat{B})^2, \tag{55}$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}$ is the component of $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F}$ perpendicular to the magnetic field $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F} = \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\parallel}+\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\parallel} \parallel \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp} \perp \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$. Here, we used the relations, $(\hat{E})^2 = (\hat{B})^2\hat{v}^2_{\rm F\perp}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}\times\hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = (\hat{B})^2\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}$. With respect to the energy transport flux density, we have

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{\rm EM} = \alpha^2\left[\left\{\frac{1}{2}(1+\hat{v}^2_{\rm F\perp})+\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}\right\}(\hat{B})^2(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}+\boldsymbol{\beta})+(1-v^2_{\rm F\perp})\left\{\frac{(\hat{B})^2}{2}(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}+\boldsymbol{\beta})-(\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{B}})\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}\right\}\right]. \tag{56}$$

Using Eqs. (55) and (56), we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{\rm EM} = \alpha e^{\infty}_{\rm EM}(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}+\boldsymbol{\beta})+\alpha^2(1-\hat{v}^2_{\rm F\perp})\left\{\frac{\hat{B}^2}{2}(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}+\boldsymbol{\beta})-(\boldsymbol{\beta}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{B}})\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}\right\}. \tag{57}$$

With respect to the angular momentum of the electromagnetic field, assuming Eq. (54), we have

$$l_{\rm EM} = h_3(\hat{B})^2\hat{v}^3_{\rm F\perp}, \tag{58}$$

$$M^i_{\rm EM} = \alpha h_3\left[\tfrac{1}{2}(1+\hat{v}^2_{\rm F\perp})(\hat{B})^2\delta^{i3}+\beta^i(\hat{B})^2\hat{v}^3_{\rm F\perp}-\hat{B}^i\hat{B}^3-\hat{E}^i\hat{E}^3\right]. \tag{59}$$

In this case, we also have

$$e^{\infty}_{\rm EM} = \alpha\frac{(\hat{B})^2}{2}(1+\hat{v}^2_{\rm F\perp})+\omega^3 l_{\rm EM}. \tag{60}$$

3. Causal energy extraction from black holes with several kinds of electromagnetic fields

3.1. Force-free electromagnetic field case: Blandford-Znajek mechanism

In this subsection, we consider the energy transport near the horizon in the force-free limit case, which is assumed in the original work of Blandford-Znajek

mechanism (Blandford & Znajek 1977). Here, we use the Kerr metric for space-time $(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) = (t, r, \theta, \phi)$ with $\omega^\phi \geq 0$ in this section. The condition of force-free, $J^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = 0$, reads

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{E}} = 0, \tag{61}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_{\rm e}\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = \boldsymbol{0}. \tag{62}$$

This means no energy and momentum transforms between the electromagnetic field and plasma. In such a case, we can write the electromagnetic field by Eq. (54). This is because when $\hat{\rho}_{\rm e} \neq 0$, we have $\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} = -\dfrac{\hat{\boldsymbol{J}}}{\hat{\rho}_{\rm e}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$, and confirm Eq. (54) with $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F} = \dfrac{\hat{\boldsymbol{J}}}{\hat{\rho}_{\rm e}}$. When $\hat{\rho}_{\rm e} = 0$, we have $\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = \boldsymbol{0}$, that is $\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \parallel \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$. Furthermore, because of Eq. (61), we have $\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \perp \hat{\boldsymbol{E}}$, and then $\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} \perp \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$. We confirm Eq. (54) with $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F} = \dfrac{1}{\hat{B}^2}\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$.

In the steady-state and axisymmetry case, Eqs. (15), (17), (61), and (62) yield

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F} = \frac{h_\phi}{\alpha}(\Omega_{\rm F} - \omega_\phi)\boldsymbol{e}_\phi = \frac{R}{\alpha}(\Omega_{\rm F} - \omega_\phi)\boldsymbol{e}_\phi, \tag{63}$$

where $\Omega_{\rm F}$ is a constant along the magnetic flux surface, $R \equiv h_\phi = h_3$ corresponds to the distance from the z axis, $\boldsymbol{e}_\phi$ is the unit vector for azimuthal direction (Blandford & Znajek 1977). Because the triangle of $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F}$ and the triangle of $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\rm P}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$ are similar (Fig. 1)we found the following relation,

$$\frac{\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp}}{\hat{v}_{\rm F}} = \frac{\hat{B}_{\rm P}}{\hat{B}}. \tag{64}$$

Here, we define $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\rm P}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_\phi$ as the poloidal and azimuthal components of magnetic field $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$, respectively. Then, we have

$$\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp} = \frac{\hat{v}_{\rm F}}{\sqrt{1 + (\hat{B}^\phi/\hat{B}_{\rm P})^2}}. \tag{65}$$

The Znajek boundary condition at the horizon Znajek (1977) is expressed as

$$\frac{\hat{B}^\phi}{\hat{B}_{\rm P}} = \hat{v}^\phi_{\rm F}. \tag{66}$$

Then, very near the horizon, we also have

$$\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp} \approx \frac{\hat{v}_{\rm F}}{\sqrt{1+\hat{v}_{\rm F}^2}}, \tag{67}$$

where "≈" means asymptotic equivalence. In the limit toward the horizon ($r \to r_{\rm H}$, $r_{\rm H}$ is the radius of the black hole), we have $\hat{v}_{\rm F} \to \infty$ when $\Omega_{\rm F} \neq \Omega_{\rm H}$, and then we found $\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp} \to 1$. Here, we write the value of ω^ϕ at the horizon by $\Omega_{\rm H}$. Eventually, using Eq. (57) we obtain very near the horizon,

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{\rm EM} = \alpha e^\infty_{\rm EM}(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}). \tag{68}$$

The directions of $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F}$ of the cases of $\Omega_{\rm F} < \Omega_{\rm H}$ and $\Omega_{\rm F} > \Omega_{\rm H}$ are opposite because of Eq. (63), and the slope of the magnetic field lines in the two cases are also opposite (Fig. 2). Then, the direction of $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}$ is always directed toward the black hole inner region when $\Omega_{\rm F} \neq \Omega_{\rm H}$. Then, when $e^\infty_{\rm EM} < 0$, the electromagnetic energy flux is directed outward and the energy of the black hole is extracted through the horizon.

Next, we determine the condition of the negative energy $e^\infty_{\rm EM} < 0$ at the horizon. When $\Omega_{\rm F} \neq \Omega_{\rm H}$, $\boldsymbol{v}_{\rm F\perp}$ is directed toward the black hole horizon in both cases of $\Omega_{\rm F} < \Omega_{\rm H}$ and $\Omega_{\rm F} > \Omega_{\rm H}$. Because the triangle of $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F}$ and the triangle of $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\rm P}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$ are similar (Fig. 1), we found $\dfrac{\hat{v}^\phi_{\rm F\perp}}{\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp}} = \dfrac{\hat{B}_{\rm P}}{\hat{B}}$, and then we obtain

$$\hat{v}^\phi_{\rm F\perp} = \left(\frac{\hat{B}_{\rm P}}{\hat{B}}\right)^2 \hat{v}_{\rm F} = \frac{\hat{v}_{\rm F}}{1+\hat{v}_{\rm F}^2}. \tag{69}$$

Finally, using the second equation of Eq. (2) and Eq. (63) we get

$$e^\infty_{\rm EM} = \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\hat{v}_{\rm F}^2}{1+\hat{v}_{\rm F}^2}\right) + \frac{\hat{\beta}^\phi \hat{v}^\phi_{\rm F}}{1+\hat{v}_{\rm F}^2}\right]\alpha\hat{B}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\alpha^2 + 2R^2\Omega_{\rm F}(\Omega_{\rm F}-\hat{\omega}_\phi)}{\alpha^2 + R^2(\Omega_{\rm F}-\hat{\omega}_\phi)^2}\alpha\hat{B}^2. \tag{70}$$

At the horizon, ($\alpha \longrightarrow 0$ $\omega_\phi \longrightarrow \Omega_{\rm H}$), Eqs. (63) and (66) yield $\hat{B} = \sqrt{(\hat{B}^\phi)^2 + (\hat{B}_{\rm P})^2} \approx |\hat{B}^\phi| = \left|\dfrac{R}{\alpha}(\Omega_{\rm F}-\Omega_{\rm H})\right| \hat{B}_{\rm PH}$ because $|\hat{B}^\phi| \gg \hat{B}_{\rm P}$, where $\hat{B}_{\rm PH}$ is the value of $\hat{B}_{\rm P}$ at the horizon.

Eventually, at the horizon, we found

$$e^{\infty}_{\rm EM} \approx \frac{R^2_{\rm H}}{\alpha}\Omega_{\rm F}(\Omega_{\rm F}-\Omega_{\rm H})(\hat{B}_{\rm PH})^2, \tag{71}$$

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{\rm EM} = R^2_{\rm H}\Omega_{\rm F}(\Omega_{\rm F}-\Omega_{\rm H})(\hat{B}_{\rm PH})^2(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}+\boldsymbol{\beta}), \tag{72}$$

where $R_{\rm H}$ is the value of $R = h_\phi$ at the horizon. It is noted that the radial component of the electromagnetic energy flux is identical to the simple equation given by McKinney & Gammie (2004) (Eq. (34) in the paper), if we set the force-free condition at the horizon, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}^{\perp}_{\rm F} = \boldsymbol{e}_r$. Then, when $0 < \Omega_{\rm F} < \Omega_{\rm H}$, the negative energy of the electromagnetic field is realized ($e^{\infty}_{\rm EM} < 0$) and the rotation energy of the black hole is extracted. This is exactly the same condition of the Blandford-Znajek mechanism. This suggests even in the Blandford-Znajek mechanism, to extract the black hole rotational energy, the negative energy of the electromagnetic field is utilized as a mediator. In conclusion, putting the negative electromagnetic energy into the black hole, the black hole rotational energy is extracted causally in the Blandford-Znajek mechanism.

Sometimes the energy extraction of the rotating black hole is intuitively explained by the torque of magnetic field at the horizon. This intuitive explanation is not appropriate with respect causality. Because at the horizon no torque affects the matter and field outside of the horizon from these inside of the horizon. Eqs. (71) and (72) suggest that the falling-down of the negative (electromagnetic) energy into the black hole could decrease the black hole energy to extract the black hole energy.

3.2. Ideal MHD case: MHD Blandford-Znajek mechanism/ MHD Penrose process

We consider the ideal MHD case in the space-time around the spinning black hole. We assume the situation is stationary and axisymmetric as the same as the force-free case

in the previous section. In such a case, the magnetic flux surfaces are stationary and axisymmetric and are expressed as constant azimuthal component of vector potential, A_ϕ. We introduce the new coordinate system (t, s, Ψ, ϕ), where t is the time of Kerr space-time, ϕ is the azimuthal coordinate, $\Psi = A_\phi$, and the coordinate s is set outwardly along the intersection line of a magnetic surface and the meridian plane (ϕ= const.)(Fig. 3). Here, we set the coordinates s so that it is perpendicular to the coordinate Ψ. The s coordinate at the horizon is $s_{\rm H}$. Essentially, this coordinate system corresponds to the Boyer-Linquist coordinate (t, r, θ, ϕ) where $t = t$, $s = s(r, \theta)$, $\Psi = \Psi(r, \theta)$, and $\phi = \phi$. Then, the length of a line element in the space-time of the rotating black hole is given by

$$ds^2 = -h_t^2 dt^2 + h_s^2 ds^2 + h_\Psi^2 d\Psi^2 + h_\phi^2 d\phi^2 - 2h_\phi^2 \omega_\phi dt d\phi.$$

We assume the ideal MHD condition, $U^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = 0$, which yields

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = \boldsymbol{0}. \tag{73}$$

Using the coordinates (s, Ψ, ϕ), Eqs. (15)–(18), (31), (41), and (73) yield the following conservation variables along the magnetic surface:

$$\dot{M}(\Psi) = h_\phi h_\Psi \rho \alpha \hat{U}^s = \frac{\alpha \rho \hat{U}^s}{\hat{B}^s}, \tag{74}$$

$$B^s(\Psi) = h_\Psi h_\phi \hat{B}^s = 1, \tag{75}$$

$$\Omega_{\rm F}(\Psi) = \frac{\alpha}{h_\phi}\left[\hat{v}^\phi + \beta^\phi - \frac{\hat{B}^\phi}{\hat{B}^s}\hat{v}^s\right], \tag{76}$$

$$L(\Psi) = h_\phi\left[\frac{\mathfrak{h}}{\rho}\hat{U}^\phi - \frac{\alpha}{\dot{M}}\hat{B}^\phi\right], \tag{77}$$

$$H(\Psi) = \frac{\mathfrak{h}}{\rho}[\alpha\hat{\gamma} - h_\phi(\Omega_{\rm F} - \omega_\phi)] = \frac{\mathfrak{h}}{\rho}\alpha(\hat{\gamma} - \hat{v}^\phi_{\rm F}\hat{U}^\phi). \tag{78}$$

It is noted that quantities with hats are variables observed by the FIDO frame. It is also noted that the distribution of Ψ is determined by the transverse equation called the "Grad-Shafranov equation"(Beskin & Kuznetsova 2000). Recently, numerical simulations

of GRMHD provide the more complete feature of the mechanism like the distribution of Poynting flux over the event horizon, the relative importance of negative energy-at-infinity fluid and electromagnetic field, the energy flux from the black hole to the disk through the magnetic field lines, etc. (McKinney, Tchekhovskoy, & Blandford 2012; Hawley & Krolik 2006). It is noted that the numerical, time-dependent simulations showed that magneto-rotational instability (MRI) always causes fluctuations and no steady state of plasma and magnetic field is found.

At the black hole horizon, the lapse function α becomes 0, h_s becomes infinite, while $\omega^\phi = \dfrac{\alpha\beta^\phi}{h_\phi} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\rm H}$, $h_\Psi \longrightarrow h_{\Psi{\rm H}}$, $h_\phi \longrightarrow R_{\rm H}$ are finite except on the z axis. Hereafter, we discuss the quantities along a certain fixed magnetic flux surface $\Psi = \Psi$.

Because the horizon is not a real singular surface, and the density ρ and pressure p are measured by the plasma rest frame, ρ and p should be finite at the horizon. Then, from Eqs. (74) and (75), $\alpha\hat{U}^s$ and $\hat{B}^s$ must be finite at the horizon, where we write $\hat{B}^s$ at the horizon by $\hat{B}^s_{\rm H}$. At the horizon, the plasma falls vertically to the horizon at the light velocity [1], $\hat{v}^\phi = \hat{v}^\Psi = 0$, $\hat{v}^s = -1$, and second equation of Eq. (2) and Eq. (76) yield

$$\frac{\alpha\hat{B}^\phi}{\hat{B}^s} \approx R_{\rm H}(\Omega_{\rm F} - \Omega_{\rm H}). \tag{79}$$

Using Eqs. (73) and (76), we have

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} = -\hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = \hat{B}^s \left(-\hat{v}^\phi + \hat{v}^s \frac{\hat{B}^\phi}{\hat{B}^s} \right) \boldsymbol{e}_\phi \times \boldsymbol{e}_s = -\frac{R}{\alpha}(\Omega_{\rm F} - \omega_\phi)\boldsymbol{e}_\phi \times (\hat{B}^s \boldsymbol{e}_s) = -\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}, \tag{80}$$

[1]This is also derived as follows. Extremely near the horizon, $\alpha\hat{B}^\phi$ is finite, because $\hat{v}^s$ is finite. Then, from Eq. (77), $\hat{U}^\phi$ is finite. At the horizon, because $\alpha\hat{U}^s$ is finite and $\alpha \longrightarrow 0$, $\hat{U}^s$ and $\hat{\gamma} = \sqrt{1 + (\hat{U}^s)^2 + (\hat{U}^\phi)^2}$ are infinite. Then, $\hat{v}^\phi = \dfrac{\hat{U}^\phi}{\hat{\gamma}}$ becomes zero at the horizon and $\tilde{\gamma} = |\hat{U}^s|$. Finally, at the horizon, $\hat{v}^s = \dfrac{\hat{U}^s}{\hat{\gamma}} = -1$.

where we put $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F} = \dfrac{R}{\alpha}(\Omega_{\rm F} - \omega^{\phi})\boldsymbol{e}_{\phi}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{\phi}$, $\boldsymbol{e}_{s}$ are the unit base vectors along the ϕ and s coordinates, respectively. Very near the horizon, we have

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F} \approx \frac{R_{\rm H}}{\alpha}(\Omega_{\rm F} - \Omega_{\rm H})\boldsymbol{e}_{\phi}. \tag{81}$$

Eqs. (79) and (81) present the geometrical disposition of vectors $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F}$, as shown in Fig. 2. When $\Omega_{\rm F} \neq \Omega_{\rm H}$, we found that the vector of $\boldsymbol{v}_{\rm F}$ is always directed toward the black hole inner region.

Intuitively, at the horizon of the rotating black hole, the plasma falls into the black hole radially with the speed of light ($\hat{v}^{s} = -1$, $\hat{v}^{\phi} = 0$ at $s = s_{\rm H}$). When the azimuthal component of magnetic field is finite outside of the horizon and stationary, the magnetic field lines are twisted extremely strongly near the horizon in appearance because of Eq. (76) where $\alpha\hat{B}_{\phi}$ is uniform along the magnetic surface and α vanishes at the horizon. This is due to difference in the lapse of time near the black hole and is apparent feature in the Kerr metric. In such case, the perpendicular component of the velocity to the magnetic field is identical to the plasma velocity and then we have

$$\hat{v}_{\rm F}^{\perp} = \hat{v}^{\perp} = 1 \tag{82}$$

at the horizon [2]. Then, the electromagnetic energy flux density at the horizon is given by

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{\rm EM} = \alpha e^{\infty}_{\rm EM}(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}), \tag{83}$$

---

[2]This equation is also derived as follows. Using similarity of the triangle of $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F}$ and the triangle of $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\rm P} = \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}^{s}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$ (Fig. 1), we found $\dfrac{\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp}}{\hat{v}_{\rm F}} = \dfrac{\hat{B}_{\rm P}}{\hat{B}} = \dfrac{\hat{B}^{s}}{\hat{B}}$, where $\hat{B} = \sqrt{(\hat{B}^{s})^2 + (\hat{B}^{\phi})^2}$ and $\hat{B}_{\rm P} = \hat{B}^{s}$. Very near the horizon, because $\hat{B}^{\phi}$ is much larger than $\hat{B}^{s}$, we have $\dfrac{\hat{B}^{s}}{\hat{B}} \approx \dfrac{\hat{B}^{s}}{|\hat{B}^{\phi}|}$ and then $\dfrac{\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp}}{\hat{v}_{\rm F}} \approx \dfrac{\hat{B}^{s}}{|\hat{B}^{\phi}|}$. Using Eqs. (79) and (81), at the horizon we confirm $\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp} = \dfrac{\hat{B}^{s}}{|\hat{B}^{\phi}|}\hat{v}_{\rm F} = 1$.

from Eq. (57). When $e^{\infty}_{\rm EM}$ becomes negative at the horizon, the electromagnetic energy is transported outwardly through the horizon when $\Omega_{\rm F} \neq \Omega_{\rm H}$, because $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}$ is always directed inwardly toward the black hole inner region (see Fig. 2). Here, because $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}$ vanishes if $\Omega_{\rm F} = \Omega_{\rm H}$, no electromagnetic output is expected, then we consider only the case of $\Omega_{\rm F} \neq \Omega_{\rm H}$ case.

As shown in Eq. (55), the electromagnetic energy-at-infinity density is given by

$$e^{\infty}_{\rm EM} = \alpha \left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + \hat{v}^2_{\rm F\perp}) + \beta^{\phi}\hat{v}^{\phi}_{\rm F\perp} \right] (\hat{B})^2. \tag{84}$$

With Fig. 1, we found $\dfrac{\hat{v}^{\phi}_{\rm F\perp}}{\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp}} = \dfrac{\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp}}{\hat{v}^{\phi}_{\rm F}}$, and then we have $\hat{v}^{\phi}_{\rm F\perp} = \dfrac{(\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp})^2}{\hat{v}^{\phi}_{\rm F}}$. At the horizon, using Eqs. (81) and (82), we have

$$\hat{v}^{\phi}_{\rm F\perp} \approx \frac{\alpha}{R_{\rm H}(\Omega_{\rm F} - \Omega_{\rm H})}. \tag{85}$$

Using Eq. (79), we also have $\hat{B} \approx |\hat{B}^{\phi}| \approx \left| \dfrac{R_{\rm H}}{\alpha}(\Omega_{\rm F} - \Omega_{\rm H}) \right| |\hat{B_{\rm H}}^{s}|$ at the horizon because of $|\hat{B}^{\phi}| \gg |\hat{B}^{s}|$. Eventually, we obtain

$$e^{\infty}_{\rm EM} \approx \frac{R^2_{\rm H}}{\alpha}\Omega_{\rm F}(\Omega_{\rm F} - \Omega_{\rm H})(\hat{B}^s_{\rm H})^2, \tag{86}$$

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{\rm EM} = R^2_{\rm H}\Omega_{\rm F}(\Omega_{\rm F} - \Omega_{\rm H})(\hat{B}^s_{\rm H})^2(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp} + \boldsymbol{\beta}). \tag{87}$$

This clearly shows that when $0 < \Omega_{\rm F} < \Omega_{\rm H}$, $e^{\infty}_{\rm EM}$ becomes negative and the electromagnetic energy flux directs outward through the horizon. It is surprising that not only the condition of the electromagnetic energy extraction from the black hole but also the expression of energy density and the energy flux density at the horizon are the same as those of the Blandford-Znajek mechanism (force-free case).

In the above two cases of electromagnetic extraction of the black hole rotational energy, the negative electromagnetic energy-at-infinity is required as a mediator to extract the black hole rotational energy through the horizon causally. As shown in Eq. (60), we have $e^{\infty}_{\rm EM} = \alpha u_{\rm EM} + \omega^{\phi} l_{\rm EM}$, where $u_{\rm EM} = \dfrac{(\hat{E})^2}{2} + \dfrac{(\hat{B})^2}{2}$ is the electromagnetic energy

density in the FIDO frame. To realize the negative electromagnetic energy, the angular momentum of the electromagnetic field $l_{\rm EM}$ should become less than $-\alpha u_{\rm EM}/\omega^{\phi}$. Locally the angular momentum should be conserved because of Eq. (41) and then redistribution of the angular momentum is required. In the Penrose process, fission of a particle is utilized for redistribution of the angular momentum and production of a particle with negative energy-at-infinity. Equation (53) indicates that dynamically only the magnetic force (the magnetic tension in the axisymmetric case) and the Lorentz force can redistribute the electromagnetic angular momentum. In the ideal MHD case, magnetic tension plays an important role to redistribute the electromagnetic angular momentum and realize the negative electromagnetic energy. This mechanism of energy extraction with negative electromagnetic energy is often confused with the (original) Blandford-Znajek mechanism, where the force-free condition is used, as we did in section 1. However, strictly speaking, they should be distinguished. From now on, in this paper, we call the ideal MHD process with the negative electromagnetic energy "MHD Blandford-Znajek mechanism". In the MHD Blandford-Znajek mechanism, we have to take the hydrodynamic energy flux of the plasma flow into account to discuss the net energy flux from/into the black hole.

In fact, in the ideal MHD case, the black hole rotational energy can be also extracted with the negative *hydrodynamic* energy of the plasma. The hydrodynamic energy flux density is

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{\rm hyd} = \alpha(e^{\infty}_{\rm hyd} + \alpha p)(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}).$$

Then, near the horizon if the plasma with $\alpha e^{\infty}_{\rm hyd} < 0$ falls into the black hole, the energy is transported outwardly through the horizon because $\alpha \longrightarrow 0$ at the horizon. If $\boldsymbol{S}_{\rm EM}$ is directed outward, $\alpha e^{\infty}_{\rm hyd}$ must be smaller than zero to extract the black hole rotational energy. This extraction mechanism of black hole rotational energy is called "MHD Penrose process" (Takahashi et al. 1990; Hirotani et al. 1992; Koide et al. 2002; Koide 2003). The hydrodynamic energy is given by $e^{\infty}_{\rm hyd} = \alpha(\mathfrak{h}\gamma - p) + \boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{l}_{\rm hyd}$ where $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ and

$l_{\rm hyd} = \mathfrak{h}\gamma^2 h_3 \hat{v}^3$ is the hydrodynamic angular momentum density. To realized the negative hydrodynamic energy, $l^3_{\rm hyd} < -\dfrac{\alpha(\mathfrak{h}\gamma^2 - p)}{\omega^3}$. The angular momentum is conserved and the redistribution of hydrodynamic angular momentum is also required. The redistribution of hydrodynamic angular momentum is caused by the Lorentz force shown in Eq. (53).

To distinguish the MHD Blandford-Znajek mechanism and MHD Penrose process, we should observe the electromagnetic and hydrodynamic energy-at-infinity density ($e^\infty_{\rm EM}$ and $e^\infty_{\rm hyd}$). If the electromagnetic energy plays a main role to extract the black hole energy, we recognize the process as the MHD Blandford-Znajek mechanism. On the other hand, the hydrodynamic or plasma energy has an important role for the extraction, it is recognized as the MHD Penrose process. In the real cases, both of them are possible, while some long-term simulations indicate that MHD Penrose process is transient and the MHD Blandford-Znajek mechanism is dominant in the later phase of the simulations (Komissarov 2005; McKinney 2006). The electromagnetic extraction mechanisms of black hole rotational energy picked up in this paper are restricted to those in the steady-state, axisymmetric cases. Recently, the long term GRMHD simulations showed 3-D dynamics of plasma interacting with the magnetic field around the rotating black hole (McKinney, Tchekhovskoy, & Blandford 2012). Strictly speaking, the results of this paper are not applicable to the time-dependent, axiasymmetric numerical results. The generalization of the results of this paper for such time-dependent, axiasymmetric numerical results is required.

3.3. Electromagnetic wave case: Superradiance

We mention the electromagnetic wave energy transport through the horizon briefly. We use the Kerr metric for the space-time $(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) = (t, r, \theta, \phi)$ around the spinning black hole, where we set $\omega^\phi \geq 0$. We consider the stationary solution of the electromagnetic wave in the vacuum, where each component of the electromagnetic field is proportional

to $f(r,\theta)e^{-i\omega t+im\phi}$ (f is a function of r and θ). We use the short wavelength limit of the electromagnetic wave, $|\boldsymbol{k}| \gg \left|\frac{1}{h_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}g_{\mu\nu}\right|$ ($i=1,2,3$, $\mu,\nu=0,1,2,3$), where $\boldsymbol{k}$ is the wavenumber of the electromagnetic wave in a local region, which is fixed at the global coordinates. In a vacuum ($\hat{\boldsymbol{J}}=\boldsymbol{0}\hat{\rho}_{\rm e}=0$), Eqs. (22)—(25) in the FIDO frame yield

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}=-\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\hat{\omega}}\times\hat{\boldsymbol{B}},\qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}=\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\hat{\omega}}\times\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}, \tag{88}$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}$ and $\hat{\omega}$ are the wave number and angular frequency of the electromagnetic wave in the FIDO frame. These equations read the dispersion relation, $\hat{\omega}=\pm\hat{k}$ and the relation $\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}\perp\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$. In this case, we identify

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}=-\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\hat{\omega}}. \tag{89}$$

Because of $\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp}=|\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}/\hat{\omega}|=1$, using Eq. (57), we have

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{\rm EM}=\alpha e^{\infty}_{\rm EM}(\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}). \tag{90}$$

When electromagnetic wave passes through the horizon and enters into the black hole, if $\alpha e^{\infty}_{\rm EM}$ is negative, the rotational energy of the black hole decreases. In this case, Eqs. (58), (60), and (89) read

$$e^{\infty}_{\rm EM}=\alpha(\hat{B})^2+\omega^3 l_{\rm EM}=\alpha\left(1+\omega^3 h_3\frac{\hat{k}^{\phi}}{\alpha\hat{\omega}}\right)(\hat{B})^2. \tag{91}$$

Very near the horizon, we have $e^{\infty}_{\rm EM}\approx\omega^3 R\frac{\hat{k}^{\phi}}{\hat{\omega}}(\hat{B})^2$. Because the 4-wavenumber $k_\mu=(-\omega,k_1,k_2,k_3)$ is the covariant vector, using Eq. (14), we have

$$-\hat{\omega}=\frac{1}{\alpha}(-\omega)+\frac{\beta^3}{h_3}k_3=-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\omega-\omega_3 k_3),\qquad \hat{k}_3=\frac{1}{h_3}k_3=\frac{m}{h_3}. \tag{92}$$

Then, the energy density of the electromagnetic wave very near the horizon is approximately given by

$$e^{\infty}_{\rm EM}\approx\Omega_{\rm H}\alpha\frac{m}{\omega-m\Omega_{\rm H}}(\hat{B})^2. \tag{93}$$

When $\omega < m\Omega_{\rm H}$, the negative energy at the horizon appears and the rotational energy of the black hole is extracted. This extraction mechanism corresponds to the "superradiance". To produce the negative energy of the electromagnetic wave, the redistribution of the angular momentum is required. To understand the redistribution process, we have to consider the structure of the solution of the electromagnetic wave in the ergosphere.

4. Discussion

In this paper, we showed simple formulae (Eqs. (57) and (60)) to aid in building physical intuition on the causal extraction mechanism of the black hole energy by the electromagnetic fields with the negative electromagnetic energy produced in the ergosphere. In three cases of force-free, ideal MHD conditions and electromagnetic wave in vacuum, at the horizon we found that $\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp} = 1$ and then we have $\boldsymbol{S}_{\rm EM} = \alpha e^{\infty}_{\rm EM}(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp} + \boldsymbol{\beta})$. To extract the black hole rotational energy causally, we have to put the negative electromagnetic energy down into the black hole through the horizon. To produce the negative electromagnetic energy, because of the angular momentum conservation (60), we should redistribute the angular momentum of the electromagnetic field, where we require the negative electromagnetic angular momentum density,

$$l_{\rm EM} < -\frac{\alpha(\hat{B})^2}{\omega^\phi} = -\frac{R(\hat{B})^2}{\beta^\phi} < 0, \tag{94}$$

at the horizon (see Eq. (60)). To realize the negative angular momentum azimuthal component, the angular momentum should be redistributed because the total angular momentum is conserved. The redistribution of the angular momentum of the electromagnetic field is caused by the electromagnetic torque (Koide 2003; Gammie, Shapiro, & McKinney 2004; Hawley & Krolik 2006; Krolik, Hawley, & Hirose 2005).

This point of view is originated on the Penrose process (Penrose 1969), which uses

negative mechanical energy of a particle. In fact, equations of the energies of matter and electromagnetic field have similar forms as shown in Eqs. (51) and (52). With the viewpoint, in general, we classify the known mechanisms of energy extraction from the black hole as shown in Table 1. The Penrose process is well known and is shortly mentioned in section 1. The Blandford-Znajek mechanism, MHD Blandford-Znajek mechanism, and the MHD Penrose process were explained in the previous sections. We showed that in all electromagnetic mechanisms of the energy extraction from the spinning black hole, the negative electromagnetic energy is utilized as a mediator for the causal energy extraction through the horizon. We confirmed that the condition of the energy extraction is given by the realization condition of the negative energy at the horizon. The magnetic Penrose process was not discussed in this paper. In the magnetic Penrose process, a particle interacts with the electromagnetic field and falls to the negative energy orbit. The negative energy of the particle is used to extract the black hole rotational energy. This is just the Penrose process with the electromagnetic interaction instead of fission. The superradiance was mentioned in subsection 3.3. We found the electromagnetic wave with negative energy is used to extract the black hole rotational energy. We also add the energy extraction mechanism with the magnetic reconnection in the ergosphere in Table 1 (Koide 2009).

We discuss the coincidence of the formulae of the energy density and the energy flux density of the electromagnetic field at the horizon for the force-free and MHD Blandford-Znajek mechanisms as shown by Eqs. (71), (72), and (86), (87) in sections 3.1 and 3.2, although the conditions of the two mechanisms are different. On a posteriori reasoning, we have the coincident expressions of the electric field $\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} = -\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F} = \dfrac{R_{\rm H}}{\alpha}(\Omega_{\rm F} - \Omega_{\rm H})\boldsymbol{e}_\phi$ in the assumption of stationary, axisymmetric conditions for the both cases. Furthermore, we have the coincident boundary condition at the horizon $\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp} \longrightarrow 1$ and $\hat{B} = \hat{B}_{\rm P}\dfrac{\hat{v}_{\rm F}}{\hat{v}_{\rm F\perp}}$ for the both cases. These leading equations for the both cases are the same and then we have the coincident formulae for the both mechanisms.

Here, we remark on the overlap of the ideal MHD and force-free conditions. The conditions of ideal MHD (Eq. (73)) and force-free (Eq. (62)) can both be satisfied if $\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} = \rho_e \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{J}}_{\parallel}$ and $\rho_{\rm e} \neq 0$, where $\hat{\boldsymbol{J}}_{\parallel}$ is a vector parallel to the magnetic field $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$. The vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{J}}_{\parallel}$ corresponds to the net current density along the magnetic field lines at the plasma rest-frame. Alternatively, in ideal MHD simulations, the "force-free" condition is often defined by $\hat{B}^2/(2\rho h) \gg 1$ even if $\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} - \rho_e \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}$ is not parallel to $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$.

In the astrophysical situation like AGNs, which mechanism is mostly expected to extract the black hole rotational energy and activate the region near the black hole? We think the MHD Blandford-Znajek mechanism is most promising process rather than the original Blandford-Znajek mechanism. Because the plasma near the black hole is expected to be relativistically hot, the plasma beta $\beta_{\rm p} = 2p/B^2$ never vanishes. Of course, the original Blandford-Znajek mechanism is applicable as an approximation with respect to the very strong magnetic field case. Such very low plasma beta is expected at the higher-latitude of the black hole magnetosphere and the fast component of a relativsitc jet.

We are grateful to Mika Koide for her helpful comments on this paper.

Table 1. Classification of various mechanisms of energy extraction from black hole.

mechanism	form of negative energy	torque for redistribution of angular momentum	output energy	references
Penrose process	mechanical energy of particle	force of particle fission	mechanical energy of particle	Penrose (1969)
magnetic Penrose process	mechanical energy of electrically charged particles	electromagnetic force	mechanical energy of electrically charged particles	Wagh (1989)
force-free Blandford-Znajek mechanism	electromagnetic energy	electromagnetic tension force (force-free)	electromagnetic energy	Blandford & Znajek (1977)
MHD Blandford-Znajek mechanism	electromagnetic energy	electromagnetic tension force (MHD)	electromagnetic energy and kinetic energy (Alfven wave)	Takahashi et al. (1990); Koide (2003); Komissarov (2005)
MHD Penrose process	mechanical energy of plasma	Lorentz force (magnetic tension, MHD)	electromagnetic energy and kinetic energy (Alfven wave)	Takahashi et al. (1990); Hirotani et al. (1992); Koide et al. (2002); Koide (2003)
energy extraction with magnetic reconnection	mechanical energy of plasmoid	magnetic tension due to magnetic reconnection	mechanical energy of plasmoid	Koide (2009)
superradiance	electromagnetic energy of electromagnetic wave	"half-mirror" effect due to quantum tunneling	electromagnetic energy of electromagnetic wave	Press & Teukolsky (1972); Teukolsky & Press (1974); Lightman et al. (1975)

REFERENCES

Beskin, V. S., & Kuznetsova, I. V. 2000, Il Nuovo Cimento B, **115**, 795

Biretta, J. A., Sparks, W. B., & Macchetto, F. 1999, ApJ, **520**, 621

Blandford, R. D. & Znajek, R. 1977, MNRAS, **179**, 433

Gammie, C. F., Shapiro, S. L., & McKinney, J. C. 2004, ApJ, **602**, 312

Hawley, J. F. & Krolik, J. H. 2006, ApJ, **641**, 103

Hirotani, K., Takahashi, M., Nitta, S.-Y., & Tomimatsu, A. 1992, ApJ, **386**, 455

Jackson, J. D. 1979, *Classical Electrodynamics* (John Wiley & Sons, New York).

Koide, S., Shibata, K., Kudoh, T., & Meier, D. L. 2002, Science, **295**, 1688

Koide, S. 2003, Phys. Rev. D. **67**, 104010

Koide, S. 2004, ApJ**606**, L45

Koide, S., Kudoh, T., & Shibata, K. 2006, Phys. Rev. D, **74**, 044005

Koide, S. 2009, ApJ, **696**, 2220

Komissarov, S. S. 2005, MNRAS, **359**, 801

Komissarov, S. S. 2009, J. Korean Physical Society, **54**, 2503

Krolik, J. H., Hawley, J. F., & Hirose, S. 2005, ApJ, **622**, 1008

Kulkarni, S. R. 1999, Nature **398**, 389

Lasota, J.-P., Gourgoulhon, E., Abramovicz, M. Tchekhovskoy, A. & Narayan, R. 2014, Phys. Rev. D, **89**, 024041.

Lightman, A. P., Press, W. H., Price, R. H., & Teukolsky, S. A. 1975, *Problem book in relativity and gravitation*, (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton).

McKinney, J. C. 2006, MNRAS, **368**, 1561

McKinney, J. C. & Gammie, C. F. 2004, ApJ, **611**, 977

McKinney, J. C., & Tchekhovskoy, A. & Blandford, R. D. 2012, MNRAS, **423**, 3083

Miniutti, G, et al. 2007, PASJ, **59**, 351

Mirabel, I. F., & Rodriguez, L. F. 1994, Nature **374**, 141.

Pearson, T. J., & Zensus, J. A. 1987, in *Superluminal Radio Sources*, edited by J. A. Zensus and T. J. Pearson (Cambridge Univ., London), p. 1.

Penrose, R. 1969, Nuovo Cimento **1**, 252

Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A. 1972, Nature, **238**, 211

Punsly, B. & Coroniti, F. V. 1989, Phys. Rev. D, **40**, 3834

Punsly, B., Coroniti, F. V. 1990a, ApJ, **350**, 518.

Punsly, B., Coroniti, F. V. 1990b, ApJ, **354**, 583.

Takahashi, M., Nitta, S., Tatematsu, Y., & Tomimatsu, A. 1990, ApJ, **363**, 206

Teukolsky, S. A. & Press, W. H. 1974, ApJ, **193**, 443

Tingay, S. J. *et al.*, 1995, Nature **374**, 141

Wagh, S. M. & Dadhich, N. 1989, Physics Reports, **183**, 137

Znajek, R. 1977, MNRAS, **179**, 457

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.



Fig. 1.— Geometric relation between the vectors $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\rm P}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}^{\phi}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\parallel}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}$, and $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}^{\phi}_{\rm F\perp}$.



Fig. 2.— Geometry of vectors $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\rm F\perp}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and the magnetic field lines in the case of $\Omega_{\rm F} < \Omega_{\rm H}$ (left panel) and $\Omega_{\rm F} > \Omega_{\rm H}$ (right panel) near the horizon.



Fig. 3.— Magnetic field surfaces in the steady-sate ideal MHD case and the spatially orthogonal coordinates (s, Ψ, ϕ).